arXiv:1412.1465v1 [math.MG] 3 Dec 2014

# Farthest points on most Alexandrov surfaces

Joël Rouyer Costin Vîlcu

December 4, 2014

**Abstract**

We study global maxima of distance functions on most Alexandrov surfaces with curvature bounded below, where *most* is used in the sense of Baire categories.

Math. Subj. Classification (2010): 53C45

Key words and phrases: Alexandrov surface, farthest point, Baire category

## 1 Introduction

In this article, by *Alexandrov surface*, we always mean a compact 2-dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by $\kappa$, without boundary. Closed Riemannian surfaces with Gauss curvature at least $\kappa$ and $\kappa$-polyhedra are important examples of such surfaces. Other significant examples, for $\kappa = 0$, are convex surfaces (*i.e.*, boundaries of compact convex sets with non-empty interior) in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Roughly speaking, these surfaces are 2-dimensional topological manifolds endowed with an intrinsic metric which verifies Toponogov's comparison property. For the precise definition and basic properties of Alexandrov spaces, see for example [3].

It is known that, endowed with the topology induced by the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, the set $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ of all Alexandrov surfaces is a Baire space [8]. In any Baire space, one says that *most elements* enjoy, or a *typical element* enjoys, a given property $\mathtt{p}$ if the set of those elements which do not satisfy $\mathtt{p}$ is of first category.

Before going further, we recall the following simple observation.

**Remark 1** *Multiplying all distances in $A \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ by a constant $\delta > 0$ provides an Alexandrov surface $\delta A \in \mathcal{A}(\frac{\kappa}{\delta^2})$. Moreover, for $A' \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa)$, the Gromov-Hausforff distance between $\delta A$ and $\delta A'$ is exactly $\delta$ times the Gromv-Hausdorff distance between $A$ and $A'$. Therefore, the spaces $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\frac{\kappa}{\delta^2})$ are homothetic, and we may assume without loss of generality that $\kappa \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$.*

The connected components of $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ are the sets of those Alexandrov surfaces of a given topology [20]. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\kappa, \chi)$ the set of all surfaces in $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ whose Euler-Poincaré characteristic is $\chi$. Then $\mathcal{A}(\kappa, \chi)$ (if non-empty) is a connected component of $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ if $\chi$ is positive or odd, and

is the union of two components otherwise. In particular, $\mathcal{A}(0)$ has four components, consisting respectively of flat tori, flat Klein bottles (both of these in $\mathcal{A}(0,0)$), convex surfaces (in $\mathcal{A}(0,2)$), and non-negatively curved projective planes (in $\mathcal{A}(0,1)$). Sometimes it is necessary to exclude the components consisting of flat surfaces; we shall then replace $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ by

$$\mathcal{B}(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(\kappa) & \text{if } \kappa \neq 0, \\ \mathcal{A}(0,1) \cup \mathcal{A}(0,2) & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

The investigation of typical properties of Alexandrov surfaces from Baire category viewpoint is very recent, see [1], [8], [21]; it generalizes a similar, well-established study for convex surfaces, see *e.g.* the survey [6]. Our paper contributes to this study.

For $A \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ and $x \in A$, $\rho_x = d(x, \cdot)$ denotes the *distance function from* $x$, and $F_x$ stands for the set of all *farthest points from* $x$, that is, the global maxima of $\rho_x$. The set of local maxima of $\rho_x$ will be denoted by $M_x$. Moreover, $B(x,r)$ and $\bar{B}(x,r)$ stand respectively for the open and closed ball of radius $r$ centered at $x$.

The above notation assumes that the space $A$ and its metric are clear from the context. In some cases, however, it will be necessary to specify the metric space $A$ or the metric $d$ itself (*e.g.*, when several metrics on the same space are considered), and we shall add a superscript: $F_x^d$, $M_x^A$, $\rho_x^A$, etc.

The study of farthest points on convex surfaces originated from some questions of H. Steinhaus, presented by H. T. Croft, K. J. Falconer and R. K. Guy in the chapter A35 of their book [4]. The questions have been answered since then, mainly by T. Zamfirescu in a series of papers [28], [29], [30], [32], and yielded several results about farthest points on most convex surfaces; see the survey [24]. A few results have also been obtained for general Alexandrov surfaces [25], [27]. The framework of a typical Riemannian metric was considered in [18].

In this paper we employ Baire categories to obtain properties of farthest points on Alexandrov surfaces, thus contributing to the study proposed by H. Steinhaus. We generalize results for convex surfaces [29] and show that, on most surfaces $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$, most points $x \in A$ have a unique farthest point (Theorem 1), which is joined to $x$ by precisely 3 segments (Theorem 2). In particular, our Theorem 1 gives an answer to the last open problem in [32].

In Section 2, we recall useful facts about Alexandrov surfaces. In Section 3, we investigate the typical number of farthest points. There are certain similarities with the original proof in [29] but, as the central argument does not hold in our framework, we replaced it by a new one derived from the main result of [18]. The last two sections are devoted to the typical number of segments between a point and its unique farthest point. Once again, the original argument does not apply in our framework. The change of (the sign of) the curvature bound forces us to develop a new geometric argument, while the lack of extrinsic geometry leads us to consider, as an auxiliary space, the space of all Alexandrov metrics on a given topological surface.

## 2 Alexandrov surfaces

In this section we give the necessary prerequisites and notation for the rest of the paper.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map between metric spaces; the *distortion* of $f$ is given by

$$\operatorname{dis}(f) = \sup_{x,x' \in X} \left| d\left(x, x'\right) - d\left(f(x), f(x')\right) \right|.$$

**Lemma 2 (Perel'man's stability theorem)** [14] *Let $A_n$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ and suppose there exist functions $f_n : A \to A_n$ such that $\operatorname{dis}(f_n) \to 0$. Then, for $n$ large enough, there exist homeomorphisms $h_n : A \to A_n$ such that $\sup_{x \in A} d\left(f_n(x), h_n(x)\right) \to 0$.*

We denote by $d_H^Z(H, K)$ the usual *Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance* between compact subsets $H$ and $K$ of a metric space $Z$, and omit the superscript $Z$ whenever no confusion is possible. If $X$ and $Y$ are compact metric spaces, we denote by $d_{GH}(X, Y)$ the *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* between $X$ and $Y$. Therefore $d_{GH}(H, K) \leq d_H^Z(H, K)$, and a partial converse is given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3** [19] *Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of compact metric spaces converging to $X$ with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff metric, and let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers. Then there exist a compact metric space $Z$, an isometric embedding $\varphi : X \to Z$ and, for each positive integer $n$, an isometric embedding $\varphi_n : X_n \to Z$, such that*

$$d_H^Z\left(\varphi_n(X_n), \varphi(Y)\right) < d_{GH}(X_n, X) + \varepsilon_n.$$

Consider two surfaces $S$ and $S'$ with boundaries $\partial S$ and $\partial S'$; consider two arcs $I \subset \partial S$ and $I' \subset \partial S'$ having the same length. By *gluing $S$ to $S'$ along $I$* we mean identifying the points $x \in I$ and $\iota(x) \in I'$, where $\iota : I \to I'$ is a length preserving map between $I$ and $I'$.

**Lemma 4 (Alexandrov's gluing theorem)** [15] *Let $S$ be a closed topological surface obtained by gluing finitely many geodesic polygons cut out from surfaces in $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$, in such a way that the sum of the angles glued together at each point is at most $2\pi$. Then, endowed with the induced metric, $S$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$.*

For any surface $A \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa)$, and any $x \in A$, we denote by $\Sigma_x$ the set of directions at $x \in A$. It is known that $\Sigma_x$ is isometric to a circle of length at most $2\pi$ [3]. The point $x$ is said to be *conical* if the length of $\Sigma_x$ is less than $2\pi$. The *singular curvature* at the point $x$ is defined as $2\pi$ minus the length of $\Sigma_y$.

Let $\mathbb{M}_\kappa$ denote the simply-connected and complete Riemannian surface of constant curvature $\kappa$. A *$\kappa$-polyhedron* is an Alexandrov surface obtained by gluing finitely many geodesic polygons from $\mathbb{M}_\kappa$.

Denote by $\mathcal{R}(\kappa)$ the set of all closed Riemannian surfaces with Gauss curvature at least $\kappa$, and by $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ the set of $\kappa$-polyhedra. A formal proof for the next result can be found, for example, in [8].

**Lemma 5** *Both $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\kappa)$ are dense in $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$.*

A *segment* on the surface $A$ is a shortest path on $A$. The set of all segments between two points $x, y \in A$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_{xy}$.

The *cut locus* $C(x)$ of a point $x \in A$ is the set of all extremities, different from $x$, of maximal (with respect to inclusion) segments starting at $x$. It is known that $C(x)$ is locally a tree with at most countably many ramifications points [23].

For the following lemma, see [33, Theorem 1] and [27, Lemma 1].

**Lemma 6** *Consider $A \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa)$, $x \in A$ and $y \in C(x)$ a ramification point of degree $d$. Then $\mathfrak{S}_{xy}$ has $d$ components.*

An *endpoint* is a point which is interior to no segment. Vertices of polyhedra are simple examples of such points. The following Lemma is the main result in [1]

**Lemma 7** *On most $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$, most points are endpoints.*

An endpoint clearly belongs to the cut locus of any points. The following lemma is a refinement of this fact.

**Lemma 8** *For most $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$ and any $x \in A$, most points of $A$ belong to $C(x)$ and are joined to $x$ by a unique segment.*

**Proof.** Consider a typical $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$ and a point $x \in A$. By Lemma 7, most points on $A$ are endpoints. Theorem 2 in [33] states that the multijoined locus of $x$ (*i.e.*, the set of points of $C(x)$ which are not extremities of $C(x)$) is of first category in any $A$, hence most points of $A$ are extremities of $C(x)$. Let $y$ be one of those extremities.

Consider the set $X \subset \Sigma_y$ of all directions of segments from $y$ to $x$. If $X$ had at least two components then, by Theorem 1 in [33], $C(x)$ would have at least two branches at $y$, in contradiction with the fact that $y$ is an extremity. Hence $X$ is connected. If it is a single point, the proof is over, so we assume that it contains a Jordan arc $U$. For any $u \in U$, there exists a segment $\gamma_u$, parametrized by the arclength, such that $\gamma_u(0) = y$ and $\gamma_u(d(x,y)) = y$. Put $I = ]0, d(x,y)[$ and define $\Phi : J \times I \to A$ by $\Phi(u,t) = \gamma_u(t)$. Since segments emanating from a same point cannot intersect each other except possibly at their extremities, $\Phi$ is injective.

We claim that it is also continuous. Let $u_n \in U$ converges to $u \in U$ and $t_n \in I$ tends to $t \in I$. Put $\alpha_n = d(u_n, u)$ and consider in $\mathbb{M}_k$ a triangle with side-angle-side $t_n$-$\alpha_n$-$t$. Obviously the length $l_n$ of the third side tends to 0. By Topogonov comparison properties $d(\Phi(u_n, t_n), \Phi(u,t)) \leq l_n$, and the claim is proved.

It follows that $\Phi | J \times [d(x,y)/3, d(x,y)/2]$ is homeomorphic to a closed ball, and therefore contains an endpoint, in contradiction with the fact that the image of $\Phi$ contains no endpoints by construction. ■

Other basic facts on Alexandrov surfaces, such as convergence theorems on shortest paths, the generalized Topogonov theorem, the first variation formula, and a detailed description of cut loci, can be found in [3], [13], [23]. Some of these facts will be implicitly used, while others will be recalled as lemmas.

# 3 Typical number of farthest points

The aim of this section is to prove the following partial generalization of Theorem 2 in [29].

**Theorem 1** *On most $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$, most $x \in A$ have precisely one farthest point.*

T. Zamfirescu strengthened Theorem 2 in [29] by proving that on any convex surface $S$, the set of those $x \in S$ for which $F_x$ contains more than one point is $\sigma$-porous [32]. This statement is not true for all Alexandrov surfaces, as one can easily see, but it would be interesting to prove it for most surfaces $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$. With this respect, our Theorem 1 gives a partial answer to the last open problem in [32].

Note that Theorem 1 also admits the following variant in the framework of Riemannian geometry.

**Lemma 9** [18, Theorems 1 and 2] *Let $S$ be a closed differentiable manifold and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of all $C^2$ Riemannian structures on $S$, endowed with the $C^2$ topology. Then, for most $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and most $x \in S$, $x$ admits a unique farthest point with respect to $g$, to which is joined by at most three segments.*

The next result covers the case of flat surfaces and complements our Theorems 1 and 2. Its proof is elementary and will be given elsewhere [22]. Surprisingly, at least at a first glance, flat tori and flat Klein bottles behave differently with respect to farthest points.

**Lemma 10** *Put $\mathcal{A}(0,0) = \mathcal{A}^+(0,0) \cup \mathcal{A}^-(0,0)$, with $\mathcal{A}^+(0,0)$ consisting of flat tori and $\mathcal{A}^-(0,0)$ consisting of flat Klein bottles.*

*i) For all $A \in \mathcal{A}(0,0)$, any $x \in A$ has either one or two farthest points. The number of segments from $x \in A$ to any of its farthest point is either 3 or 4.*

*ii) There exists $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{A}^+(0,0)$ open and dense such that, for all $A \in \mathcal{O}$ and all $x \in A$, $\#F_x = 2$ and $x$ is joined to each of its farthest points by precisely three segments.*

*iii) There exist open subsets $\mathcal{O}^1, \mathcal{O}^2 \subset \mathcal{A}^-(0,0)$ such that $\operatorname{cl}\left(\mathcal{O}^1 \cup \mathcal{O}^2\right) = \mathcal{A}^-(0,0)$ and for all $A \in \mathcal{O}^i$ and all $x \in A$, $\#F_x = i$ ($i = 1,2$). Moreover, for all $A \in \mathcal{O}^1 \cup \mathcal{O}^2$, every $x \in A$ is joined to each of its farthest points by precisely three segments.*

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need two more lemmas.

**Lemma 11** *Let $A_n$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ be isometrically embedded in some compact metric space $Z$. Assume that $A_n \to A$ with respect to the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance in $Z$. Let $x_n \in A_n$ converge to $x \in A$. If $y_n \in F_{x_n}^{A_n}$ and $y \in A$ such that $y_n \to y$ then $y \in F_x^A$.*

**Proof.** If $X$ is a compact subset of $Z$, and $x$ belongs to $X$, we denote by $\varrho_x^X$ the radius of $X$ at $x$, *i.e.*, the maximal value of the distance function from $x$ restricted to $X$. We claim that $\varrho$ is continuous with respect to $x$ and $X$. Let $X$, $X'$ be two compact subsets of $Z$, let $y \in X$ be a farthest

point from $x \in X$, let $x' \in X'$, and let $y' \in X'$ be a closest point to $y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_x^X &= d^X(x,y)\\ &\leq d^Z\left(x,x'\right) + d^{X'}\left(x',y'\right) + d^Z\left(y',y\right)\\ &\leq d^Z\left(x,x'\right) + \varrho_{x'}^{X'} + d_H^Z\left(X,X'\right).\end{aligned}$$

Exchanging the roles of $X$ and $X'$ we get

$$\left|\varrho_x^X - \varrho_{x'}^{X'}\right| \leq d^Z\left(x,x'\right) + d_H^Z\left(X,X'\right),$$

thus proving the claim.

Now $d^A(x,y) = \lim d^{A_n}(x_n,y_n) = \lim \varrho_{x_n}^{A_n} = \varrho_x^A$, whence $y \in F_x^A$. ■

**Lemma 12** *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$. Then $A$ can be approximated by surfaces in $\mathcal{R}(\kappa')$, with $\kappa' > \kappa$.*

**Proof.** If $\kappa \neq 0$, the conclusion is reached by contractions or dilations (see Remark 1) and by the density of $\mathcal{R}(\kappa')$ in $\mathcal{A}(\kappa'$. We prove here that each $A \in \mathcal{A}(0,1) \cup \mathcal{A}(0,2)$ can be approximated by Riemannian surfaces of positive curvature.

We shall show that $A$ can be approached by $\kappa$-polyhedra of $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$, with $\kappa > 0$ (but of course tends to 0). Then the approximation of such polyhedra by surfaces of $\mathcal{R}(\kappa)$ will follow from Lemma 5.

Suppose first that $A \in \mathcal{A}(0,2)$. By Alexandrov's realization theorem [2], $A$ is isometric to a convex surface in $E \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{R}^3$. This surface can be approached by convex polyhedra $P \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Denote by $x_0, \ldots, x_3$ the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^4$ and assume that $E$ is embedded in $\mathbb{R}^4$ as the affine hyperplane of equation $x_0 = R$. Let $S$ be the sphere of radius $R$ centered at 0, and consider the radial projection $\phi_R : E \to S$, defined by $\phi_R(x) = \frac{Rx}{\|x\|}$, where $\|x\|$ denotes the canonical norm of $x$ as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^4$. It is clear that $\phi_R$ maps lines of $E$ on great circle of $S$, planes of $E$ on totally geodesic subspaces of $S$, and consequently 0-polyhedra of $E$ on $\frac{1}{R^2}$-polyhedra of $S$. Rather obviously too, $\phi_R(P)$ tends to $P$ when $R$ tends to infinity. (Indeed, a straightforward computations shows that $d^\Sigma(\phi_R(a), \phi_R(b)) = \|b-a\| + o\left(\frac{1}{R}\right)$).

If $A \in \mathcal{A}(0,1)$, then one can apply the same construction to its universal covering $C$, which is a centrally symmetric convex surface. Since $\phi_R$ obviously preserves this symmetry, $\phi_R(C)$ can be quotiented to obtain an approximation of $A$. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.** For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$, define the following sets:

$$T(A) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid \#F_x \geq 2\},$$

$$T(A,\varepsilon) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid \text{diam}F_x \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

We have $T(A) = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^*} T(A,1/k)$ and $T(A,1/k)$ is obviously closed in $A$. Further define:

$$\mathcal{M} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa) \mid T(A) \text{ is not meager}\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa) \mid \text{int}T(A,\varepsilon) \neq \varnothing\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa) \;\middle|\; \exists x \in A\ \bar{B}(x,\eta) \subset T(A,\varepsilon)\right\},$$

whence

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \subset \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^*, r\in\mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{1}{k},\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

It remains to prove that $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\eta)$ is nowhere dense in $\mathcal{B}(\kappa)$.

We first show that it is closed. Let $A_n$ be a sequence of Alexandrov surfaces of $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\eta)$ converging to $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$. Assume those surfaces embedded in a same compact metric space (see Lemma 3). Let $x_n \in A_n$ be such that $\bar{B}(x_n,\eta) \subset T(A_n,\varepsilon)$. Select a converging subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ and denote by $x$ its limit.

We claim that $\bar{B}(x,\eta) \subset T(A,\varepsilon)$. Choose $y \in \bar{B}(x,\eta)$, hence $y$ is limit of $\{y_n\}$, with $y_n \in \bar{B}(x_n,\eta) \subset T(A_n,\varepsilon)$. Consequently, there exist $u_n, v_n \in F_{y_n} \subset A_n$ such that $d^{A_n}(u_n,v_n) \geq \varepsilon$. By extracting subsequences, one can assume that $\{y_n\}$, $\{u_n\}$, and $\{v_n\}$ converge to $y \in \bar{B}(x,\eta)$, $u \in A$, and $v \in A$. Passing to the limit gives $u, v \in F_y \subset A$ and $d^A(u,v) \geq \varepsilon$, see Lemma 11. That is, $y \in T(A,\varepsilon)$, the claim is proved, and $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\eta)$ is closed.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\eta)$ has an interior point $A$. By Lemma 12, there exists a sequence $R_n \in \mathcal{R}(\kappa_n)$ tending to $A$ in $\mathcal{R}(\kappa)$ for some sequence of numbers $\kappa_n > \kappa$. For $n$ large enough, $R_n$ is also interior to $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\eta)$. Put $\mathcal{R}_1 = \{R \in \mathcal{R} \mid \text{for most } x \in R, \#F_x = 1\}$. By Lemma 9, there exists a sequence of $R_{n,p} \in \mathcal{R}_1$ converging to $R_n \in \mathcal{R}(\kappa_n)$ for the $C^2$ topology. Hence for $p$ large enough, $R_{n,p} \in \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\eta) \cap \mathcal{R}(\kappa)$, which is in contradiction with $R_{n,p} \in \mathcal{R}_1$. Hence $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\eta)$ has empty interior. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

# 4 Two lemmas

In this section we give two auxiliary results which will be invoked in Section 5; the first one seems to have an interest in its own.

Let $S$ be a closed topological surface. We denote by $\mathfrak{M}_k(S)$ the space of continuous metrics $d$ on $S$ such that $(S,d) \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa)$. $\mathfrak{M}_k(S)$ is naturally endowed with the metric $\delta$ defined by

$$\delta\left(d,d'\right) = \max_{x,y\in S} \left\|d(x,y) - d'(x,y)\right\|.$$

**Lemma 13** *The space $\mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)$ is Baire.*

**Proof.** Consider in $\mathbb{M}_\kappa$ a triangle $xyz$ such that $d(x,y) = a$, $d(x,z) = b$, and $d(y,z) = a' + b'$. Let $p$ be the point on the segment $yz$ such that $d(y,p) = a'$. We denotes by $c_\kappa(a,b,a',b')$ the distance between $p$ and $x$. It is obvious that $c_\kappa$ is a continuous function.

Since $S$ is compact, the set $\mathfrak{F}(S)$ of all real valued continuous functions on $S \times S$ is complete. Denote by $\mathfrak{F}'_\kappa(S)$ the set of those functions $f \in \mathfrak{F}(S)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall x, y \in S\, f(x,y) &= f(y,x) \geq 0 \\
\forall x \in S\, f(x,x) &= 0 \\
\forall x, y, z \in S\, f(x,y) &\leq f(x,z) + f(z,x) \\
\forall x, y \in S\, \exists z \in A\ f(x,z) &= f(z,y) = \frac{1}{2} f(x,y) \\
\forall x, y, z, p \in S\, f(y,p) + f(p,z) &= f(y,z) \Longrightarrow \\
f(p,x) &\leq c_\kappa \left( f(x,y), f(x,z), f(x,p), f(y,p) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The set $\mathfrak{F}'_\kappa(S)$ is obviously closed in $\mathfrak{F}(S)$. Now, notice that $\mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)$ is exactly the set of those functions $d \in \mathfrak{F}'(S)$ such that $f(x,y) = 0$ implies $x = y$. Whence

$$\mathfrak{F}'_\kappa(S) \setminus \mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S) \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{H}_n,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{H}_n = \left\{ d \in \mathfrak{F}'_\kappa(S) \;\middle|\; \exists x, y \in S \text{ with } d_0(x,y) \geq \frac{1}{n} \text{ and } d(x,y) = 0 \right\}$$

and $d_0$ is any fixed distance on $S$. The sets $\mathfrak{H}_n$ are closed in $\mathfrak{F}'_\kappa(S)$, hence $\mathrm{cl}\left(\mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)\right) \setminus \mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)$ is included in a countable union of closed sets in $\mathrm{cl}\left(\mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)\right)$. On the other hand, the interior of $\mathrm{cl}\left(\mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)\right) \setminus \mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)$ is obviously empty, whence $\mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)$ in residual in its closure, which is complete. Therefore it is a Baire space. ■

The next elementary result in constant curvature surfaces will be a small step in the proof of Theorem 2.

**Lemma 14** *Let $\kappa$ be 0 or 1. Consider in $\mathbb{M}_\kappa$ a quadrilateral $x_0x_1zx_2$ depending on two parameters $l$ and $\varepsilon$, such that the midpoints $y_1$, $y_2$ of $x_0x_1$ and $x_0x_2$ satisfy $x_0x_1 = x_0x_2 = 2l$, $x_1z = x_2z = l$, $y_1y_2 = \varepsilon$, see Figure 1. In the case $\kappa = 1$, assume moreover that $l < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then, for $\varepsilon$ small enough, the circumcenter of the triangle $x_0x_1x_2$ lies inside the triangle $y_1y_2z$.*

**Proof.** Let $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\theta$, $\phi$ and $\psi$ be the angles defined by Figure 1; we have to prove that $\beta > \alpha$.

In the case $\kappa = 0$, we may assume without loss of generality that $l = 1$, hence $\alpha = \arcsin \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\theta = 2 \arcsin \varepsilon$. It follows that

$$\beta - \alpha = \phi - \psi - \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \frac{\pi - \theta}{2} - \alpha = \frac{\theta}{2} - 2\alpha > 0.$$

Now we assume $\kappa = 1$. A cosine law in the triangle $x_0x_1x_2$ gives

$$\cos \varepsilon = \cos^2 l + \sin^2 l \cos 2\alpha,$$

whence

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2 \sin l} \varepsilon \left( 1 + \frac{1}{24 \tan^2 l} \varepsilon^2 \right) + o\left(\varepsilon^4\right).$$

Let $a$ be the distance between $x_1$ and $x_2$. In the triangle $x_0x_1x_2$, a cosine law gives

$$\cos a = \cos^2 2l + \sin^2 2l \cos 2\alpha,$$




Figure 1: A configuration of isosceles triangles in $\mathbb{M}_\kappa$.

whence

$$a = 2\cos l\varepsilon + \frac{2\cos l + \cos 3l}{12}\varepsilon^3 + o\left(\varepsilon^4\right).$$

A second cosine law in the same triangle gives

$$\cos 2l = \cos 2l \cos a + \sin 2l \sin a \cos \phi,$$

whence

$$\phi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\cos l}{\tan 2l}\varepsilon - \frac{\cos^2 l \cos 2l}{48\sin^3 l}\left(1 + 8\sin^2 l\right)\varepsilon^3 + o\left(\varepsilon^4\right).$$

In the triangle $x_1x_2z$ we have

$$\cos l = \cos l \cos a + \sin l \sin a \cos \psi,$$

therefore

$$\psi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\cos l}{\tan l}\varepsilon + -\frac{\cos^2 l}{24\sin^3 l}\left(2\sin^2 2l + 5\cos^2 l - 1\right)\varepsilon^3 + o\left(\varepsilon^4\right).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta - \alpha &= \phi - \psi - \alpha \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^3}{8\tan^2 l \sin l} + o\left(\varepsilon^4\right) > 0.\end{aligned}$$

■

# 5 Typical number of segments

In this section we generalize the last part of Theorem 2 in [29], from convex surfaces to Alexandrov surfaces. Results in the same direction were obtained by the first author [18], for a manifold endowed with a typical Riemannian metric (see Lemma 9), and by P. Horja [7] and T. Zamfirescu [34], for upper curvature bounds.

**Theorem 2** *For most $A \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa)$, most $x \in A$ and are joined to each of their farthest point by exactly 3 segments.*

The proof of our last theorem consists of Lemmas 10, 15 and 19.

**Lemma 15** *For most $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$, for most $x \in A$, $x$ is joined to each of its farthest points by at most three segments.*

**Proof.** For $x, y \in A$, recall that $\mathfrak{S}_{xy}$ denotes the set of segments from $x$ to $y$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$Q(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid \exists y \in F_x \text{ with } \#\mathfrak{S}_{xy} \geq 4\},$$

$$Q(A,\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{x \in A \;\middle|\; \exists y \in F_x \; \exists \sigma^1, \ldots, \sigma^4 \in \Sigma_{xy} \; \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4 \; d_H^A\left(\sigma^i, \sigma^j\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}.$$

Note that $Q(A,\varepsilon)$ is closed, and $Q(A) = \bigcup_{p\in\mathbb{N}^*} Q(A, 1/p)$. Further define

$$\mathcal{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa) \mid Q(A) \text{ is not meager}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa) \mid \text{int}Q(A,\varepsilon) \neq \varnothing\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon,\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa) \;\middle|\; \exists x \in A \; \bar{B}(x,\eta) \subset Q(A,\varepsilon)\right\},$$

whence

$$\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{p\in\mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{N}(1/p) \subset \bigcup_{p,q\in\mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{N}(1/p, 1/q).$$

We prove now that $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon,\eta)$ is closed. Let $\{A_n\}_n$ be a sequence of surfaces in $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon,\eta)$ converging to $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$. By Lemma 3, we can assume that $A_n$ and $A$ are embedded in the same compact metric space $Z$. By hypothesis, there exists $x_n \in A_n$ such that $\bar{B}(x_n,\eta) \subset Q(A_n,\varepsilon)$. We can assume that $\{x_n\}_n$ converges to $x \in A$. A point $z \in \bar{B}(x,\eta)$ is limit of a sequence of points $z_n \in Q(A_n,\varepsilon)$. Hence there exist four segments $\sigma_n^1, \ldots, \sigma_n^4$ between $z_n$ and $y_n \in F_{z_n}$. By selecting a subsequence, we can assume that $\{y_n\}_n$ converges to $y \in A$. By Lemma 11, $y \in F_z$. We can also assume that $\{\sigma_n^i\}_n$ converges to some segment $\sigma_n^i$ from $z$ to $y$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$. Moreover, $d_H^A\left(\sigma^i, \sigma^j\right) = \lim d_H^A\left(\sigma_n^i, \sigma_n^j\right) \geq \varepsilon$. Hence $B(x,\eta) \subset Q(A,\varepsilon)$, and $A \in \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon,\eta)$.

The proof that $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon,\eta)$ has empty interior is similar to the proof of the emptiness of the interior of $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\eta)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.

It follows that $\mathcal{N}$ is meager, whence the conclusion. ■

**Lemma 16** *Let $S$ be a closed topological surface such that $\mathfrak{M}(\kappa)$ is non empty. Choose $d \in \mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)$ and $x, y \in S$ with $F_x^d = \{y\}$. Then there exist $d_n \in \mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)$ converging to $d$, and $x_n, y_n \in S$ converging to $x$ and $y$ respectively, such that $x_n$ and $y_n$ are conical points on $(S, d_n)$ and $F_{x_n}^{d_n} = \{y_n\}$.*

**Proof.** Choose $d'$ close to $d$, such that most points in $(S, d')$ have a unique farthest point to which they are joined by at most three segments, and such that, for any point $z$, most points in $S$ belong to $C^{d'}(z)$ and are joined to $z$ by unique segments. This is possible by virtue of Lemma 8, Lemma 15, Theorem 1 and Lemma 2. Chose a point $x'$ close to $x$, whose unique farthest point $y'$ satisfies $\#\mathfrak{S}_{x'y'} \leq 3$. By Lemma 11, $y'$ tends to $y$ when $d' \to d$ and $x' \to x$.

Take a point $v \in C(y')$, distinct from $x'$, joined to $y'$ by a unique segment $\gamma_v$. Take $w \in C(y)$ close to $v$ and joined to $y$ by precisely two

segments $\gamma_w^1$ and $\gamma_w^2$; this is possible, because $C(y)$ has at most countably many ramifications points, see [23]. Remove the part $\Delta$ of $(S, d')$ bounded by $\gamma_w^1 \cup \gamma_w^2$ and containing $v$, and glue the rest by identifying the two boundary segments. Denote by $(S'', d'')$ the obtained surface, and by $f : S \setminus \Delta \to S''$ the canonical surjection. When $w$ tends to $v$, $\gamma_w^1$ and $\gamma_w^2$ both tends to $\gamma_v$ (because $\gamma_v$ is the only segment between $y$ and $v$), and $(S'', d'')$ obviously tends to $(S, d')$. Clearly $d''(f(p), f(q)) \leq d'(p, q)$ for any $p$, $q \in S$.

We claim that, for $w$ close enough to $v$, $d''(x', y') = d'(x', y')$. Let $\gamma$ be a segment on $(S'', d'')$ between $x'$and $y'$. If $\gamma$ does not intersect $f\left(\gamma_w^1 \cup \gamma_w^1\right)$, then the claim holds. Otherwise, the limit of $\gamma$, which is a segment of $(S, d')$ between $x'$ any $y'$, should intersect $\gamma_v$, which is impossible.

It follows that $F_{x'}^{(S'', d'')} = \{y'\}$.

By Lemma 2, there exists a homeomorphism $h : S \to S''$ such that $\mathrm{dis}(h) \to 0$, $x^* \overset{\text{def}}{=} h^{-1}(x') \to x'$ and $y^* \overset{\text{def}}{=} h^{-1}(y') \to y'$ when $w \to v$. Define the metric $d^*$ on $S$ by $d^*(p, q) = d''(h(p), h(q))$, we have $y^*$ conical point for $d^*$ and $F_{x^*}^{d^*} = \{y^*\}$.

A similar procedure applied to $x$ produces the desired metric. ■

The following lemma is part of Theorem 3 in [5], see also Theorem 1 in [26]. The theorem was originally stated for all points $x \in A$ and only for $F_x$, but the proof given in [26] holds here too.

**Lemma 17** *If $A \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ and $x \in A$ such that $d(x, F_x) > \pi/2$ then $\#M_x = \#F_x = 1$.*

A *long loop* at $x \in A$ is the union of two segments from some $y \in F_x$ to $x$, whose directions at $y$ divide the space of directions at $y$ into two arcs of length at most $\pi$.

**Lemma 18** *Let $S$ be a closed topological surface such that $\mathfrak{M}(\kappa)$ is non-empty. For most Alexandrov metrics on $S$, for most $x \in S$ and any $y \in F_x$, there are at least three segments between $x$ and $y$.*

**Proof.** For any metric $d \in \mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S)$, the set

$$D(d) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in S \mid \text{there exists a long loop at } x \text{ with respect to } d\}$$

is clearly closed, from the definition of a long loop.

Consider a countably dense subset $Z$ of $S$. We have

$$\mathcal{D} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{d \in \mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S) \mid \mathrm{int} D(d) \neq \emptyset\} \subset \bigcup_{z \in Z, q \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{D}_{z,q},$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{z,q} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ d \in \mathfrak{M}_\kappa(S) \;\middle|\; B\left(z, \frac{1}{q}\right) \subset D(d) \right\}.$$

Here, the balls $B(z, 1/q)$ are understood with respect to any fixed continuous metric on $S$. The sets $\mathcal{D}_{z,q}$ are clearly closed, and we prove in the following that they have empty interior.

Assume on the contrary that there exist $z \in Z$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\mathrm{int}\mathcal{D}_{z,q} \neq \emptyset$; in the rest of the proof we shall derive a contradiction.

Choose a typical metric $d' \in \text{int}\mathcal{D}_{z,q}$; by Theorem 3.1 in [8], it has no conical points. Also choose a point $x' \in B(z, \frac{1}{3q})$ joined to its unique farthest point $y'$ by at most three segments, two of which are forming a long loop $\Gamma'_{x'}$ (see Lemma 15).

The space of directions at each point in $(S, d')$ is a circle, hence we can define locally around $x'$ and around $y'$ a left and a right side of $\Gamma'_{x'}$. We apply twice the procedure described by Lemma 16 and its proof, one time for each side of $\Gamma'_{x'}$. Doing so allows us to assume that, after cutting, $\Gamma'_{x'}$ still divide $\Sigma_{x'}$ in two almost equally long curves. Here, 'almost equally long' means that the ratio between the length of the two connected components can be chosen arbitrary close to one. For the new metric $d \in \text{int}\mathcal{D}_{z,q}$, there are conical points $x \in B\left(z, \frac{1}{2q}\right)$, $y \in S$ such that $F_x = \{y\}$. A long loop $\Gamma_x$ at $x$ through $y$ divides $\Sigma_y$ into two equally long curves. Let $\gamma^1, \gamma^2 \in \mathfrak{S}_{xy}$ be the two segments from $x$ to $y$ composing $\Gamma_x$. We may assume, moreover, that the singular curvatures at $x$ and $y$ verify $\omega_x \leq \omega_y$.

**Case 1:** $\kappa \in \{-1, 0\}$.

Consider in $\mathbb{M}_0$ a quadrilateral $L = x_0x_1zx_2$ defined as in Lemma 14, see Figure 1, with $l = d(x, y)$ and $\varepsilon$ small enough to ensure that $2(\alpha + \beta) \leq \omega_x$.

Cut $(S, d)$ along $\Gamma_x$ and insert $L$ as follows: identify $x_1z$ to $x_2z$, identify $x_0y_1$ to one image of $\gamma^1$ and $x_0y_2$ to the other image of $\gamma^1$, and identify $x_1y_1$ to one image of $\gamma^2$ and $x_2y_2$ to the other image of $\gamma^2$. On the resulting Alexandrov surface $A_0 = (S_0, d_0)$ (see Lemma 4), denote by $L_0$ the image of $L$, and by the same letters $x, y$ the images of $x$ and $y$. By Lemma 14, there exists a point $y_0$ (the circumcenter of $x_0x_1x_2$) interior to $L_0$ such that $d_0(x, y_0) > d_0(x, z)$, for any $z \in L_0$. It follows that $F_x^{d_0} = \{y_0\}$ and there are three segments from $x$ to $y_0$ which, moreover, make angles smaller that $\pi$ at $y_0$. Since $y_0$ is a smooth point of $(S, d_0)$, there is no long loop at $x$.

Using Lemma 2, one can define a metric $d_1$ on $S$, such that $(S, d_1) = A_0$ and such that the point $x_1$ corresponding to $x$ belongs to $B\left(z, \frac{1}{q}\right)$. Hence $d_1$ does not belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{z,q}$ and a contradiction is obtained.

**Case 2:** $\kappa = 1$.

**Subcase 2.1:** $d(x, y) < \pi/2$.

The construction is similar to that at Case 1, but this time we take $L$ in $\mathbb{M}_1$.

**Subcase 2.2:** $d(x, y) = \pi/2$.

Apply Subcase 2.1 to $(S, \lambda d)$, where $\lambda$ is slightly less than one.

**Subcase 2.3:** $d(x, y) > \pi/2$. This cannot be done similarly to Subcase 2.1, because now the isosceles triangles $x_0y_1y_2$, $x_1y_1z$ and $x_2y_2z$ have base angles larger that $\pi$.

It is known that $\text{diam}^d(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{u,v \in S} d(u, v) \leq \pi$ for any $d$ such that $(S, d) \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ [3, Theorem 3.6]. By replacing $d$ by $\lambda d$ (with $\lambda < 1$ close to 1), we may assume without loss of generality that $\text{diam}^d(S) < \pi$.

Consider the sphere $\Lambda$ of radius $r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d(x, F_x)/\pi < 1$; put $\kappa' = \frac{1}{r^2}$. Then $\Lambda \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa', 2) \subset \mathcal{A}(1, 2)$. Consider a slice $\Theta$ of $\Lambda$ determined by great




Figure 2: Approximation procedure in the proof of Lemma 17.

half-circles making an angle of $\phi$ at their intersection point, such that $2\phi = \omega_y$.

Cut $(S, d)$ along $\Gamma_x$ and insert two copies of $\Theta$. Denote by $A_1$ the resulting Alexandrov surfaces (see Lemma 4) and by $\Theta_1$, $\Theta_2 \subset A_1$ the two copies of $\Theta$ on $A_1$. Figure 2 illustrates the approximation procedure for this subcase.

Denote by $s_i$ the median great half-circle of $\Theta_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$. By considering only subslices of $\Theta_1$, $\Theta_2$, we may assume without loss of generality that, on the one hand, the angle at $y$ between the third segment to $x$ (if it exists) and any direction toward $\Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2$ is distinct from $\pi$, and on the other hand, the angle at $y$ between $s_1$ and $s_2$ is exactly $\pi$. Put $s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s_1 \cup s_2$ and denote by $c_1$, $c_2$ the arcs of great circles in $\Theta_1$, $\Theta_2$ orthogonal to $s$, through the midpoints of $c_1$ and $c_2$ respectively. Consider a point $u \in s$ close to $c_1$ and replace the triangle whose vertices are $u$ and the endpoints of $c_1$ by a triangle of constant curvature 1 with the same side lengths. After this, the distances on $\Theta_1$ slightly decrease and, by Toponogov's comparison property, $u$ becomes a conical point. Due to the symmetry of $\Theta_1$ with respect to $s$, on the resulting surface $A_2$ there are two segments from $y$ to $x$, say of length $l_1$. Denote them by $\gamma_1', \gamma_1''$ and denote by $\gamma_2', \gamma_2''$ the segments on $\Theta_2$ of tangent directions at $y$ opposite to those of $\gamma_1'$, $\gamma_1''$. Let $v'$, $v''$ be the intersection points of $c_2$ with $\gamma_2', \gamma_2''$, and consider a variable point $v \in s_2$. Replace the triangle $vv'v''$ by a triangle of constant curvature 1. Then, on the new surface $A_2 = A_2(v)$, there are two shortest path on $\Theta_2$ from $y$ to $x$, of length $l_2(v)$. Note that $l_2(c_2 \cap s_2) = \pi r$, and $l_2(y) = (1 + r)\pi/2$. Hence there exists $v_0 \in s$ such that $l_2(v_0) = l_1$.

On $A_2(v_0)$ we have four segments from $x$ to $y$ such that no two of them are composing a loop, and the angle at $y$ between any two consecutive segments is less than $\pi$. By virtue of the first variation formula [13, Theorem 3.5], $y$ is a strict local maximum for $\rho_x^{A_2(v_0)}$, and Lemma 17 shows that $F_x = M_x = \{y\}$.

Now, using Lemma 2, one can define a metric $d_2$ on $S$ such that $(S, d_2) = A_2(v_0)$, and such that $x \in B\left(z, \frac{1}{q}\right)$.

Therefore, the Alexandrov metric $d_2$ does not belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{z,q}$, and a contradiction is obtained. ■

**Lemma 19** *For most $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$, for most $x \in A$ and any $y \in F_x$, there are at least three segments between $x$ and $y$.*

**Proof.** For any surface $A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$, the set

$$C(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid \text{there exists a long loop at } x\},$$

is closed, from the definition of a long loop.

We have

$$\mathcal{C} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa) \mid \text{int}C(A) \neq \emptyset\} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{C}_q,$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ A \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa) \;\middle|\; \exists x \in A \; \bar{B}\left(x, \frac{1}{q}\right) \subset C(A) \right\}.$$

The sets $\mathcal{C}_q$ are clearly closed, and they have empty interior by Lemma 18, hence $\mathcal{C}$ is of first category. ■

# References


[1] K. Adiprasito and T. Zamfirescu, *Few Alexandrov surfaces are Riemann*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., to appear

[2] A.D. Alexandrov, *Die innere Geometrie der konvexen Flächen*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1955

[3] Y. Burago, M. Gromov and G. Perel'man, *A. D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below.*, Russ. Math. Surv. **47** (1992), 1–58 (English. Russian original)

[4] H. T. Croft, K. J. Falconer and R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991

[5] K. Grove and P. Petersen, *A radius sphere theorem*, Inventiones Math. **112** (1993), 577–583

[6] P. Gruber, *Baire categories in convexity*, in P. Gruber and J. Wills (eds.), *Handbook of Convex Geometry*, vol. B, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993, 1327–1346

[7] P. Horja, *On the number of geodesic segments connecting two points on manifolds of non-positive curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 5021–503

[8] J. Itoh, J. Rouyer and C. Vîlcu, *Moderate smoothness of most Alexandrov surfaces*, arXiv:1308.3862 [math.MG]

[9] J. Itoh and C. Vîlcu, *Cut locus structures on graphs*, Discrete Math. **312** (2012), 524–531

[10] J. Itoh and C. Vîlcu, *Orientable cut locus structures on graphs*, arXiv:1103.3136 [math.DG]

[11] J. Itoh and T. Zamfirescu, *On the length of the cut locus on surfaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II, Suppl. **70** (2002), 53–58

[12] S. B. Myers, *Connexions between differential geometry and topology I. Simply connected surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **1** (1935), 367–391

[13] Y. Otsu and T. Shioya, *The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), 629—658

[14] G. Perel'man, *A. D. Alexandrov spaces with curvatures bounded from below II*, preprint 1991

[15] A. V. Pogorelov, *Extrinsic geometry of convex surfaces*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1973

[16] J. Rouyer, *On antipodes on convex polyhedron*, Adv. Geom. **5** (2005), 497–507

[17] J. Rouyer, *On farthest points on Riemannian manifolds homeomorphic to the 2-dimensional sphere*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **48** (2003), 95–103

[18] J. Rouyer, *On antipodes on a manifold endowed with a generic Riemanniann metric*, Pac. J. Math. **212** (2003), 187–200

[19] J. Rouyer, *Generic properties of compact metric spaces*, Topology Appl. **158** (2011), 2140–2147

[20] J. Rouyer and C. Vîlcu, *The connected components of the space of Alexandrov surfaces*, to appear in D. Ibadula and W. Veys (eds.), *Experimental and Theoretical Methods in Algebra, Geometry and Topology*, Springer Proc. in Mathematics and Statistics; arXiv:1310.8491 [math.MG]

[21] J. Rouyer and C. Vîlcu, *Simple closed geodesics on most Alexandrov surfaces*, arXiv:1311.4873 [math.MG]

[22] J. Rouyer and C. Vîlcu, *Some remarks on flat surfaces*, manuscript

[23] K. Shiohama and M. Tanaka, *Cut loci and distance spheres on Alexandrov surfaces*, Actes de la Table Ronde de Géométrie Différentielle (Luminy, 1992), Sém. Congr., vol. 1, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1996, 531–559

[24] C. Vîlcu, *Properties of the farthest point mapping on convex surfaces*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **51** (2006), 125–134

[25] C. Vîlcu, *Common maxima of distance functions on orientable Alexandrov surfaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **60** (2008), 51–64

[26] C. Vîlcu and T. Zamfirescu, *Symmetry and the farthest point mapping on convex surfaces*, Adv. Geom. **6** (2006), 345–353

[27] C. Vîlcu şi T. Zamfirescu, *Multiple farthest points on Alexandrov surfaces*, Adv. Geom. 7 (2007), 83–100

[28] T. Zamfirescu, *On some questions about convex surfaces*, Math. Nach. **172** (1995), 313–324

[29] T. Zamfirescu, *Points joined by three shortest paths on convex surfaces*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 3513–3518

[30] T. Zamfirescu, *Farthest points on convex surfaces*, Math. Z. **226** (1997), 623–630

[31] T. Zamfirescu, *Closed geodesic arcs in Aleksandrov spaces*, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser. **50** (1997), 425–430

[32] T. Zamfirescu, *Extreme points of the distance function on convex surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 1395–1406

[33] T. Zamfirescu, *On the cut locus in Alexandrov spaces and applications to convex surfaces*, Pac. J. Math. **217** (2004), 375–386

[34] T. Zamfirescu, *On the number of shortest paths between points on manifolds*. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Suppl. **77** (2006), 643–647